

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/389,201	09/02/1999	JAMES JOSEPH BABKA	AT9-99-357	AT9-99-357 5061	
7.	590 05/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
JAMES J MURPHY			TANG, KENNETH		
5400 RENAISSANCE TOWER 1201 ELM STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 752702199			2127		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200-	4 /.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/389,201	BABKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth Tang	2127				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2004.					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 9-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

2. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 3/8/04, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 4-8 drawn to configuring devices, classified in class 710, subclass 104.
 - II. Claims 1-3 and 9-16 drawn to maintaining an ordered list, classified in class 707,subclass 1.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I-II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201

Art Unit: 2127

separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as lacking maintaining

Page 3

an ordered list, particulars. See MPEP 806.05(d).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. A telephone call was made to Kelly Kordzik on 3/19/03 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, and elected Group II (claims 1-3 and 9-16).

9. Applicant is required to cancel non-elected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201

Art Unit: 2127

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 1. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 12-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Singh (US 6,389,447 B1).
- 2. As to claims 1, 9, and 13, Singh teaches a method, system and program for tracking activities in a data processing system, comprising the steps of:
 - maintaining an ordered list of activities running in the system (col. 1, lines 54 through col. 2, lines 1-22);
 - whenever a new activity begins, inserting the new activity at a top of the list (Figs. 7-10, col. 1, lines 62-63);
 - whenever an activity in the ordered list completes, removing the completed activity from the ordered list (col. 6, lines 7-11, 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8);
 - displaying the activity that is at the top of the list (col. 2, lines 13-17).
- 3. As to claims 2, 10, and 14, Singh teaches wherein the displaying step displays a code pertaining to the latest-started activity that has not completed *(col. 2, lines 16-17)*.

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 2127

4. As to claims 12 and 16, Singh teaches wherein the displaying step further comprises the steps of and system circuitry for:

- determining if an activity that has completed is currently being displayed (col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8);
- if the activity that has completed is currently being displayed, displaying an activity that had previously been displayed (col. 1, lines 61-65, col. 2, lines 16-17, col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Singh (US 6,389,447 B1) in view of Hughes et al. (hereinafter Hughes) (US 5,109,484).
- 6. As to claims 3, 11, and 15, Singh fails to explicitly teach the method as recited in claims 1, 9, and 13, respectfully, wherein the activities are configurations of devices attached to the data processing system. However, Hughes teaches activities for configuring devices attached to a data processing system with the use of a configuration list (see Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201

Art Unit: 2127

configuring of devices of Singh because configuring devices is a necessary procedure before

devices are to be used.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-5334. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 7:00PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kt

5/16/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6